

RESEARCH AND PUBLICATION CENTER

[] Main [] Branch _____

THESIS FINAL DEFENSE EVALUATION FORM

(For Students)

Title:		
Proponents:		
Instructions:	components of this corresponding score of corresponding weight	eria-indicators and rating scale to help you evaluate the various thesis work. Please read the criteria carefully and place the of each item on the blank provided for. Multiply the score with its t and enter it on the last column. Add the points in the last column grade. Space is provided for your comments at the bottom of the
100.00 - 9	Outstanding	The indicators of the criterion are carried out in an excellent manner.
94.99 - 90	Very Good	The indicators of the criterion are carried out evidently.
89.99 - 85	Good	The indicators of the criterion are carried out fairly.
84.99 - 80	Poor	The indicators of the criterion are carried out deficiently.
79.99 - 75	Very Poor	The indicators of the criterion are carried out very deficiently.
74.99 - 70	Missing	The indicators of the criterion are not evident at all.
N/A		Not Applicable

Criterion	Score	Weight	Points
The Problem An explosion on the background, rationale and significance of the study are given, The objectives are specific, measurable, achievable, realistic and time-bond. The scope and delimitations of the study are clearly explained. The theoretical base for the study is described and its relation to the problem clearly indicated. Operational definitions of key terms/variables are adequately provided.		10%	
Related Literature There is evidence that the writer made a scholarly attempt to find his precursors in the field. The review provides relevant discussion on theories, concepts, facts, and/or ideas related to the subject. The materials are current, adequate and carefully selected. A synthesis is provided at the end of the section describing the review's significance.		10%	



RESEARCH AND PUBLICATION CENTER

[] Main [] Branch _____

THESIS FINAL DEFENSE EVALUATION FORM

(For Students)

Criterion	Score	Weight	Points
Methodology			
Description of the research approach used is			
concisely written. Procedures are presented in very			
clear and logical sequence. The rationale behind the		10%	
choice of method/procedure is explained. Sample			
size, sampling technique, research instruments and			
statistical treatment are suitable.			
Results			
The purpose of the study is attained. Data are			
sufficient, logically presented, thoroughly analyzed,			
and soundly interpreted as to their meanings,		20%	
· ·			
substance, trends, relationships, implications, and/or			
statistical significance. Weaknesses, inconsistencies			
and other limitations of the findings are admitted			
and discussed.			
Conclusion and Recommendations			
The summary of findings is logically presented.			
Conclusions are valid outgrowths of the findings and		20%	
formulated concisely. Recommendations offered are			
logical, valid, feasible, practical, and attainable.			
General Format			
The manuscript is very well-organized and contains			
all the main requisites of a typical thesis manuscript.			
The prescribed rules on the format, spacing		15%	
pagination, tables and figures are strictly followed.			
Mechanics of writing is satisfactory. The style is			
impersonal, straight forward, objective and effective.			
Oral Presentation			
Oral presentation is methodical, rational and			
coherent. It is brief but complete. Appropriate and	15%		
attractive visual aids are used. Presenters are			
articulate and questions are answered satisfactorily.			
articulate and questions are answered satisfactority.		1000/	
L		100%	
Comments:			
Name and Signature of Panel Member		Date	<u>.</u>